Meg Kinnear Secretary-General International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes 1818 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20433 United States of America 701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 600 Washington DC 20004-2692 T+1 202 777 4500

T+ 1 202 777 4500
Direct T+ 1 202 777 4519
F+ 1 202 777 4555
Direct F+ 1 202 507 5919

WASHINGTON

E nigel.blackabyfreshfields.com

W freshfields.com

DOC ID US1289512/1+ OUR REF NAB/CR

YOUR REF

CLIENT MATTER NO. 155087-0001

By Email and Post

June 28, 2012

Commerce Group Corp and San Sebastian Gold Mines Inc v. Republic of El Salvador (ICSID Case No. ARB/09/17) - Annulment Proceedings

Dear Ms Kinnear,

I refer to Mr Parada's letter of 26 June on behalf of the Respondent.

The issues raised in Mr Parada's letter are completely irrelevant to the issue of the extension of the stay of proceedings or to Claimants' right to instruct the lawyer of their choice. Regrettably, Mr Parada seems to be employing a tactic he has already adopted in the case brought by Pacific Rim against El Salvador where he also liberally accused opposing counsel of wrongdoing (http://www.globalarbitrationreview.com/news/article/30599/counsel-clash-el-salvador-claim/).

However, to avoid any doubt on these issues, I note that:

1. Mr Parada's argument that Freshfields is precluded from representing the Claimants in this case as a result of Freshfields' representation of the Republic of Guatemala in entirely separate claims, simply because the claims are brought under the same free trade agreement, is untenable.

The Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP partners include members of the Bars of the State of New York and the District of Columbia, Solicitors of the Supreme Court of England and Wales and Rechtsanwälte of Germany

- 2. In the DR CAFTA claim in which Freshfields is defending the Republic of Guatemala (*TECO v Republic of Guatemala*), Guatemala has raised no objection in connection with the waiver provisions of the DR CAFTA Treaty.
- 3. I understand that Freshfields enquired whether the Republic of El Salvador was represented by counsel after a story regarding the claim appeared in GAR in July 2009. However, Freshfields did not tender an offer to represent the Republic of El Salvador in this case as the Republic of El Salvador indicated that it was represented by counsel. This was before the Claimants approached Freshfields with respect to this case.

Claimants respectfully request that the ad hoc panel ignore the irrelevant issues that Mr Parada has raised to distract from the only relevant issue here: whether Claimants, whose means of financing this claim have been destroyed by Respondent's actions, may be granted a modest additional 60 days to finalise active discussions with potential financiers that may enable it to exercise its rights under the ICSID Convention.

Yours sincerely,

Nigel Blackaby